



# Emerging Pollutants Numerical Data

Uptake and translocation of perfluoroalkyl acids by hydroponically grown lettuce and spinach exposed to spiked solution and treated wastewaters (2021)

**Table 1:** PFAAs concentrations of spiked-PFAAs aqueous solution (LAB) and WWTPs effluents WWTPB and WWTPC throughout the monitoring period (weekly frequency determination). Mean values  $\pm$  standard error ( $n = 7$ ) are shown.

PFAAs ( $\times 10^2$ ng L $^{-1}$ )	C-chain length	Initial values			Average during the experiment					
		LAB	WW TP <sub>B</sub>	WWTP <sub>C</sub>	LAB		WWTP <sub>B</sub>		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	
					Mean	s.e.	Mean	s.e.	Mean	s.e.
PFBA	3	5.0	0.15	0.82	3.8	$\pm 0.27$	0.11	$\pm 0.090$	1.0	$\pm 0.090$
PFPeA	4	5.0	0.13	1.9	4.3	$\pm 0.28$	0.13	$\pm 0.010$	1.7	$\pm 0.12$
PFHxA	5	5.0	0.11	3.2	3.9	$\pm 0.29$	0.16	$\pm 0.020$	2.9	$\pm 0.20$
PFHpA	6	5.0	<0.10	0.32	4.3	$\pm 0.20$	<0.10	--	0.32	$\pm 0.020$
PFOA	7	5.0	0.17	2.2	3.2	$\pm 0.31$	0.20	$\pm 0.010$	1.8	$\pm 0.17$
PFNA	8	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	2.3	$\pm 0.44$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFDA	9	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	2.9	$\pm 0.34$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFUnA	10	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	1.7	$\pm 0.50$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFDoA	11	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	1.1	$\pm 0.56$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFTraA	12	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	1.1	$\pm 0.57$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFTeA	13	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	1.0	$\pm 0.57$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFBS	4	5.0	0.12	0.49	4.2	$\pm 0.18$	0.16	$\pm 0.010$	0.59	$\pm 0.030$
PFHxS	6	5.0	<0.10	<0.10	3.9	$\pm 0.29$	<0.10	--	<0.10	--
PFOS	8	5.0	<b>0.080</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>195</b>	$\pm 0.49$	<b>0.700.00</b>	--	<b>0.090</b>	<b>0.00</b>

**Table 2:** Concentration of PFAAs (ng g $^{-1}$ ) in spinach and lettuce. Mean values  $\pm$  standard error are shown ( $n = 12$ ). Each grouping of molecules followed by different letters are significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

	C-chain length		Lettuce						Spinach					
			Roots			Leaves			Roots			Leaves		
			Mean		s.e.	Mean		s.e.	Mean		s.e.	Mean		s.e.
PFBA	3	LAB	95	a	7	63	b	3	4.7	d	0.4	20	c	1
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	5.0	d	0.5	5.0	d	0.3	<0.20	d	--	1.1	d	0.1
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	17	c	1	20	c	1	0.81	d	0.10	4.6	d	0.4
PFPeA	4	LAB	54	a	4.7	27	b	0.6	3.3	cde	0.3	25	b	1
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	e	--	0.7	e	0.0	<0.20	e	--	0.70	e	0.12

		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	9.2	c	0.4	9.8	c	0.9	0.82	de	0.10	7.5	cd	0.7
PFHxA	5	LAB	5.8	b	0.5	3.8	c	0.1	1.8	de	0.1	8.0	a	0.5
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	0.51	f	0.01	0.35	f	0.04	<0.20	f	—	0.52	f	0.10
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	3.2	c	0.1	2.9	cd	0.3	1.1	ef	0.20	5.1	b	0.4
PFHpA	6	LAB	17	a	2.3	2.4	cd	0.2	4.6	bc	0.40	7.7	b	0.7
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	d	—	<0.20	d	—	<0.20	d	—	<0.20	d	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	1.5	cd	0.1	<0.20	d	—	0.50	d	0.10	<0.20	d	—
PFOA	7	LAB	54	a	6.0	3.0	cd	0.2	11	c	1	3.8	cd	0.3
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	5.3	cd	0.5	0.52	d	0.00	0.80	d	0.10	<0.20	d	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	25.8	b	1.2	1.2	d	0.1	3.3	cd	0.3	1.2	d	0.1
PFNA	8	LAB	210	a	28.4	3.3	c	0.4	29	b	2	2.2	c	0.2
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	0.70	c	0.1	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	7.2	c	0.4	<0.20	c	—	0.49	c	0.11	<0.20	c	—
PFDA	9	LAB	320	a	32	1.3	c	0.10	76	B	8	0.61	c	0.03
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	1.3	c	0.1	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	4.6	c	0.4	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
PFUnA	10	LAB	260	a	24	0.70	c	0.10	170	b	13	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
PFDoA	11	LAB	87	b	7.6	<0.20	c	—	160	a	11	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
PFTrA	12	LAB	54.8	b	5.8	<0.20		—	87	a	7	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
PFTeA	13	LAB	22.6	b	2.2	<0.20		—	28	a	2	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20		—
PFBS	4	LAB	2.3	b	0.2	0.75	c	0.04	0.72	c	0.08	2.7	a	0.2
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	0.50	cde	0.10	0.31	de	0.07	<0.20	e	—	0.25	de	0.02
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	0.51	cde	0.03	0.22	de	0.01	0.27	de	0.03	0.60	cd	0.10
PFHxS	6	LAB	53.8	a	7.2	1.1	a	0.1	14	b	1.5	1.6	c	0.1
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	0.70	c	0.10	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	0.51	c	0.05	<0.20	c	—	<0.20	c	—	2.8	c	0.0
PFOS	8	LAB	350	a	33	0.81	c	0.10	58	b	5	0.50	c	0.10
		WWTP <sub>B</sub>	8.6	c	1.1	<0.20	c	—	0.50	c	0.13	<0.20	c	—
		WWTP <sub>C</sub>	5.3	c	0.3	<0.20	c	—	0.83	c	0.11	<0.20	c	—

Source: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S004896972100591X>

**Biochar based nanocomposites for photocatalytic degradation of emerging organic pollutants from water and wastewater (2021)**

**Table 1:** Synthesis and performance of BSPs.

BSPs	Biomass	Pollutants	Synthesis Routes	Performance	Ref.
<b>BC-TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	Coconut shell	Reactive Brilliant Blue KN-R	Sol-Gel	99.70 %, pH = 1, 1 h 97.0%, pH=11.1 h	[41]
<b>BC-TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	Salvinia molesta	Acid Orange7	Sol-Gel Mechanical mixing	57.6 %, 3 h	[42]
<b>BC-Zn/TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	Reed straw	SMX	Modified Sol-Gel	81.20 %, 3 h	[43]
<b>BC-TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	Softwood Miscanthus straw	Phenol	Ultrasound-Wet impregnation	64.0 %, UV light, 4 h 33.6 %, Visible light, 4 h	[31]
<b>BC-TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	Soft Wood Pellets	Phenol	Ultrasound	42.6 %, UV light, 4 h 15.7 %, Visible light, 4 h	[44]
<b>BC-ZnO</b>	Waste biomass	Orange G dye	Hydrothermal	88.5 %, Visible light 94.2 %, UV light	[45]
<b>N-BC-Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub></b>	Pine	RhB, Cr(VI)	Solvothermal	92 %, 6 h	[46]
<b>BC-BiOX (X = Cl, Br)</b>	Biochar	Methyl Orange	One-step Hydrolysis	82 %, 2.5 h	[37]
<b>BC-CdS</b>	Lotus-leaf	RhB, MO, MB	Calcination	97.8 %, MO, 1 h 96.3 %, MB, 2.5 h	[47]
<b>BC-Bi/Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b>	Rice-straws	Estrone	Impregnation	Kobs=0.045 min <sup>-1</sup>	[48]

**Table 2:** BSPs for degradation of dyes.

Feedstocks	Pyrolytic condition	Strategies applied	Contaminants/Pollutants	Performance	Ref.
<b>Rice straw</b>	600 °C 1 h	Slow pyrolysis in nitrogen environment	Crystal Violet	2 times better than activated carbon	[95]
<b>Korean Cabbage</b>	600 °C 1 h	Slow pyrolysis in nitrogen environment	Crystal Violet	4.8 times better than activated carbon	[95]
<b>Wood chip</b>	600 °C 1 h	Slow pyrolysis in nitrogen environment	Crystal Violet	Same as activated carbon	[95]
<b>Residue of Spirulina platensis algae biomass</b>	450 °C 2 h	Slow pyrolysis in closed environment	Methylene Blue	Analogous to activated carbon	[93]
<b>Paper sludge and wheat husks</b>	500 °C 20 min	Chemical co-precipitation of iron oxide nanoparticles onto BCs	Malachite green	Better than pristine biochar	[101]
<b>Wheat husks and paper sludge</b>	600 °C	ZrO <sub>2</sub> immobilization by a modified sonochemical/ sol-gel method	Reactive Yellow 39	Advanced degradation efficiency than the pure biochar	[114]
<b>Coconut shell</b>	450 °C 2 h	Wet impregnation and calcination	KN-R	Better than pure biochars	[107]
<b>Ramie bars</b>	500 °C 20 h	Pyrolysis of titanium butoxide-treated biomass	Safranine T	7 times better than pure biochar	[113]
<b>Chicken Feather</b>	450 °C 1 h	Pyrolysis of tetra butyl titanate-treated biomass	Rhodamine B	Better than pristine biochar	[112]

**Table 3:** BSPs for degradation of phenols and chemical intermediates.

Feedstocks	Pyrolytic condition	Strategies applied	Contaminants	Performance	Ref.
Reed	300 °C, 400 °C, 500 °C, 600 °C 2 h	Acid-washed biomass pyrolyzed	Pentachlorophenol	4.5–5 times better than pure Biochar	[119]
Wetland plants (reed)	900 °C 1.5 h	Ammonium nitrate-pre-treated biomass pyrolyzed	Bisphenol A, phenol	38 times better than pristine Biochar	[133]
Miscanthus straw pellets	550 °C, 700 °C 5min	Pyrolysis of titanium (IV) isopropoxide pre-treated biomass	Phenol	Better than pristine Biochar	[118]
Softwood pellets	550 °C, 700 °C 5 min	Pyrolysis of titanium (IV) isopropoxide pre-treated biomass	Phenol	Better than TiO <sub>2</sub> /MSP	[118]
Sawdust	600 °C 2 h	Pyrolysis of ZnCl <sub>2</sub> - and FeCl <sub>2</sub> - pretreated biomass	P-nitrophenol	2 times better for p-nitrophenol adsorption than pure biochar	[134]
Rice husk	750 °C 3 h	KOH treated Biochar pyrolyzed in 2 steps	Phenol	As high as 200 mg/g	[135]
Black spruce and white birch residues	315 °C, 454 °C	CO <sub>2</sub> activation at 900 °C	Phenol	2 times better than the pristine Biochar	[136]
Eucalyptus globulus wood	400 °C 2 h	Calcination of orthophosphoric acid-treated biochars	Bisphenol A, 4-tertbutylphenol	Exceptional ability for removing phenolic endocrine disrupting chemicals mixture	[137]
Withered magnolia blossom	500 °C 2 h	Mixture of urea and biomass is pyrolyzed	2-Mercaptobenzothiophene	2 times better than pure g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	[132]
Switchgrass	425 °C 1 min	Co-precipitation of Fe <sup>3+</sup> /Fe <sup>2+</sup> on BCs surface followed by NaOH treatment	Metribuzin	Better adsorption capacities for metribuzin removal	[138]

**Table 4:** BSPs for degradation of pharmaceutical compounds.

Feedstocks	Pyrolysis condition	Tactics applied	Properties and advantages	Contaminants	Performance	Ref.
Sawdust	600 °C 2 h	ZnCl <sub>2</sub> - and FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O pre-treated biomass was pyrolyzed	Nanosized zinc and iron oxide particles, more oxygen-containing groups and advanced surface area as well as larger pore size	Tetracycline	3.5 times better for tetracycline removal than the pure Biochars	[151]
Rice husk	500 °C	Liquid phase reduction of Fe <sup>2+</sup> impregnated BCs with the help of PDA modification	Rich in NZVI particles and surface functional groups	Tetracycline	Increased over 87.5 % and 55.9 % for the degradation of tetracycline using NZVI and biochars, respectively	[152]
Coconut	500 °C 1.5 h	Mixed with iron or iron oxides by ball milling	Ultrafine magnetic Biochars with much advanced surface area and pore volume	Tetracycline, carbamazepine	More efficient than traditional porous adsorbents	[148]
Potato stem	500 °C 6 h	Attapulgite pre-treated biomass pyrolyzed	Biochar was covered with attapulgite particles with bigger pore volume	Norfloxacin	1.68 times better than pristine Biochar	[153]
Eucalyptus globulus wood	380 °C 2 h	Immobilization of NZVI onto biochar surface after the phosphoric acid treatment	Impregnation of NZVI with more functional groups	Chloramphenicol	Better for simultaneous reduction and adsorption chloramphenicol	[154]
Bamboo	380 °C 2 h	Calcination of orthophosphoric acid treated Biochars	Greater surface area and more functional groups	Sulfathiazole, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethazine	Better than other adsorbents reported early studies	[155]
Rice straw	4500 °C (-)	Calcination of Co(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> treated Biochars	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticle-immobilized Biochars with higher surface area and better mesoporous structure	Ofloxacin	More efficient, 8 times faster and higher degradation rate than Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Oxone and previously	[156]

Source: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0025540821000593>

Remediation of emerging environmental pollutants: A review based on advances in the uses of eco-friendly biofabricated nanomaterials (2021)

**Table 1:** Dyes detected in effluents and their degradation by biofabricated nanomaterials.

Type of the Dye	Dye	Biofabricated nanocatalyst	Reducant/ Capping agent/ stabilizer	Degradation phenomenon	Efficiency /parameters	Applications	Reference
<b>Nitroamine</b>	4-nitrophenol, (4NP)	AgNPs	<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	e	4NP to 4AP	Effluentwater	<b>Arya et al. (2017)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue	AgNPs	<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	e	e		
	Congo red	AgNPs	<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	e	e		
<b>Reactive dye</b>	Nile blue	CuO NPs	<i>Psidium guajava</i>	Photocatalytic	93%, 100min	Effluent water	<b>Singh et al. (2019)</b>
	RY160	CuO NPs	<i>Psidium guajava</i>		80%, 120min		
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene orange	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> magnetic NPs	<i>Pisum sativum</i>	Catalytic and surface adsorption	pH 6	Effluent water	<b>Prasad et al. (2017)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue Methylene blue Congo red malachite green Direct blue-1 Reactive black-5	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> magnetic NPs with NaBH <sub>4</sub> Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> magnetic NPs with H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> CuNPs	<i>Peltophorum pterocarpum</i> <i>Peltophorum pterocarpum</i> <i>Escherichia sp.</i> SINT7	Catalytic and electron relay mechanism Fenton-like process	92%, 27min 92%, 27min	Effluent water	<b>Anchan et al. (2019)</b>
				Photocatalytic	97.7% 90.55% 88.42% 83.61%		<b>Anchan et al. (2019)</b> <b>Norman et al. (2020)</b>
<b>Triarylmethane dyes, azo dye, Nitroamine dye</b>	coomassie brilliant blue G-250, Rhodamine B, Methylene blue and 4nitrophenol	Palladium NPs	<i>Boswellia serrata</i>	Redox Catalytic activity NaBH <sub>4</sub> enhancer	e	Effluent water	<b>Kora and Rastogi (2016)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Reactive yellow 186	SnO <sub>2</sub> NPs	<i>Piper betle</i>	Photo catalytic	92.17%, 16 0min	Effluent water	<b>Singh et al. (2018)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Congo red,	MnNPs	<i>Cinnamomum verum bark</i>	Photo catalytic under UV	78.5% pH 7, 60min	Effluent water	<b>Kamran et al. (2019)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Direct yellow-142, methyl	To- CoNPs	<i>T. officinale</i>	Catalytic reduction	93.37% and 96.24%, 60 min	Effluent	<b>Rasheed et al. (2019)</b>
	orange				agitation,	water	
<b>Azo dye</b>	methyl orange and Rhodamine B	ANL-AuNPs	<i>Alpinia nigra</i>	Photo catalytic activity	83% and 87%	Effluent water	<b>Baruah et al. (2018)</b>

<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue	CuO-NPs	<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	photocatalytic activity under direct sunlight	97%, 50min	Effluent water	<b>Muthuvel et al. (2020)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Reactive Black 5 and Reactive Red 12	AgNPs	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> leaves	catalytic activity with NaBH <sub>4</sub>	92e93%	Effluent water	<b>Yu et al. (2019)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Direct Blue-1, Methyl Red, and Reactive Black-5	AgNPs	<i>Bacillus marisflavi</i> TEZ7	Photocatalysis under direct sunlight	54e96%, 5hrs	Effluent water	<b>Ahmed et al. (2020)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Congo red	B-Fe-PMS, B-Fe-PS	<i>Terminalia bellirica</i>	Catalysis by activating PS and PMS	83% and 63%, acidic pH	Effluent water	<b>Jegadeesan et al. (2019)</b>
<b>Acidic dye</b>	Bromothymol blue	AgNPs	<i>Anthocyanin</i>	Photocatalysis mediated by H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ,NaBH <sub>4</sub> & light irradiation	20min	Effluent water	<b>Al-Thabaiti et al. (2020)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue and Congo red	ZnONP	Casein	Photo catalytic under UV	pH 6-7, 4 h<sup></sup><sup></sup>s<sup></sup><sup></sup>t	Effluent water	<b>Somu and Paul (2018)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue	ZnS quantum dots	<i>Penicillium</i> sp	Photodegradation	6hr	Effluent water	<b>Jacob et al. (2019)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Congo red & Methylene blue	Carbon quantum dots	<i>Elettaria cardamomum</i>	degradation by visible light-induced	pH 4, 50min & pH 8, 55min	Effluent water	<b>Zaib et al. (2020)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Methylene blue and Methylene	Fe NPs (GT-FeNPs)	Green tea leaves	Fenton-like reaction	Upto 99%, pH < 5, 5e60min	Effluent water	<b>Shahwan et al. (2011)</b>
<b>Sulphonated triphenylmethane dye</b>	Coomassie brilliant blue R-250	CuO-NPs	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Photodegradation due to surface charge	<2hr	Effluent water	<b>Sankar et al. (2014)</b>
<b>Reactive dye</b>	Turquoise blue	AgNPs	<i>Ocimum tenuiflorum</i> leaves	e	96.8	Soil	<b>Banerjee et al. (2014)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Genetian violet	AgNPs	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	e	98	Soil	<b>Sharma et al. (2016a,b)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Genetian violet	AgNPs	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	e	99	Soil	<b>Sharma et al. (2016a,b)</b>
<b>Azo dye</b>	Crystal Violet	AgNPs	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	e	97.2%	Soil	<b>Satapathy et al. (2015)</b>

**Table 2:** Biofabricated nanomaterial-mediated pesticide degradation.

Pollutant	Category	Biofabricated nanocomposite(s)	Proposed Mechanism(s)	Factors and efficacies	Reference
<b>Atrazine [2-chloro-4-(ethylamino)-6-(isopropylamino)-1,3,5-triazine]</b>	Herbicide	Fe3O4-ECH-CS (chitosan) on immobilized <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	Binding to intracellular space and surface Hydroxy and amine groups of Chitosan Reductive Dechlorination and Chlorination by Fe3O4	pH 7, 25 °C, 88%	Zhu et al. (2018a)
<b>Atrazine</b>	Herbicide	a-Fe2O3 magnetic NPs on immobilized <i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Microbial enzyme and surface activity	pH 7, 30°C, 150 rpm, 90%, 20days	Khatoon and Rai. (2018)
<b>Atrazine</b>	Herbicide	Magnetic <i>S. cerevisiae</i> bionanomaterial (Fe2O3NP- SA-PVA)	Adsorption site by Fe2O3.dechlorination, dealkylation, deamination, isomerization, and mineralization	pH 7, 28°C, 150 rpm, 95%	Wu et al. (2018)
<b>2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid)</b>	Herbicide	Orthosiphon stamineu mediated AgNPs	photocatalytic activity due to UV, imidazolium cation, phenolic groups	pH 3-4, 65%	Kamarudin et al. (2020)
<b>2,4-D</b>	Herbicide	<i>Cymbopogon nardus</i>	photocatalytic activity enhanced by phenolic groups	98%	Kamarudin et al. (2019)
<b>2,4 DCP</b>	Chlorinated Phenol: Precursor for 2,4-D	<i>Euphorbia cochinicensis</i> - Fe NPs s	adsorption/Fenton-like oxidation aided by H2O2 and catalytic chain reaction	64%	Guo et al. (2017)
<b>Endosulfan</b>	Organochlorine Pesticide	Zerovalent iron nanoparticles along with <i>Alpinia calcarata</i> , <i>Ocimum sanctum</i> and <i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> as phyto-nano remediation method	Hydrogenolysis and sequential Dehalogenation	82%, 7 days	Pillai and Kottekottil (2016)
<b>Pollutant</b>	Category	Biofabricated nanocomposite(s)	Proposed Mechanism(s)	Factors and efficacies	--
<b>Naphthalene</b>	PAH	Azadirachta indica and <i>Coriandrum sativum</i> Ag-NPs & Cu-NPs	surface adsorption	98% and 95%	Abbas et al. (2020)
<b>Lindane</b>	Organochlorine Pesticide	MSNPs and MSNPs/Fe3O4 Nanocomposites mediated by green tea extracts	Dechlorination	99%, alkaline pH	El-Said et al. (2018)
<b>Atrazine</b>	Herbicide	Alginate-stabilized AgNPs	Functional group of alginate and Ag	pH 6, 96%	Pal et al. (2015)
<b>Endosulfan</b>	Organochlorine Pesticide	Mg0/Bacterial cellulose/silver nanoprisms composite	desulfurization & dechlorination	86e95%	Tyagi et al. (2020)
<b>Carbaryl and Endosulfan</b>	insecticide and Organochlorine esticide	AgNPs mediated by <i>Azadiracta indica</i>	Alkali hydrolysis, Dechlorination combined with UV irradiation	57 and 60%	Hajra et al. (2016)
<b>Dichlorophenol</b>	organophosphorus insecticide	laccaseeMSU-F	Enzyme catalysis	e	Vidal-Limon et al. (2018)
<b>4-nitrophenol and 2-Nitrophenol</b>	Organic pollutant	AgNPs mediated by <i>Acacia nilotica</i>	Photodegradation, catalytic	Upto 95%	Shah et al. (2020)

			reduction by electron transfer		
<b>Mancozeb</b>	Fungicide	AgNPs mediated by Neem, Aloe vera and Mint	UV irradiation, ROS induced photocatalytic activity	Degradation and detection	Alex et al. (2020)
<b>Chlorpyrifos, Thiamethoxam, and Tebuconazole</b>	Organophosphorus pesticide, insecticide, Fungicide	Hexacyanoferrate nanoparticles mediated by <i>Sapindus mukorossi</i>	Hydrolyation of aromatic group, dechlorination and dealkylation	70e98%	Rani and Shanker (2018)
<b>Phenanthrene, phenanthrene chrysene, fluorene, benzo (a) pyrene</b>	PAHs	Iron hexacyanoferrate ( <i>Sapindus mukorossi</i> -mediated)	Adsorption and photocatalysis	70e90%	Shanker et al. (2017)
<b>4-nitrophenol</b>	Organic pollutant	Ag NPs-Xanthum gum	High surface areacatalytic reduction	e	Xu et al. (2014)
<b>4-nitrophenol 2-nitrophenol nitrophenol</b>	Organic pollutant	AueAg BM NPs Reduced from pomegranate juice extract	Transfer of electron from Ag-Au, thus increasing the catalytic reduction site	6,12, and 10min reduction time	Kumari et al. (2015)
<b>Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons PAHs</b>	Pollutant	Fe-HCF - <i>Sapindus mukorossi</i>	e	e	Shanker et al. (2017)
<b>4-nitrophenol</b>	Organic pollutant	Ag Np- chitosan-TiO <sub>2</sub> composites	Bioaffinity adsorption and photocatalytic reduction	100%, 20min	Xiao et al. (2018)
<b>Pharmaceutical pollutants Carbamazepine</b>	Poly aromatic Anticonvulsant	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> SVK1 extracellular secretion mediated Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> hematite nanoparticles	Adsorption on oxy(hydr)oxide functional groups of NPs	pH3-9, 90%, 2hr,	Rajendran and Sen (2018)
<b>Carbamazepine</b>	Poly aromatic Anticonvulsant	zero-valent Fe/Cu (FB-nZVFe/Cu) by <i>Ficus Benjaminia</i>	adsorption and reduction	pH 5, 95%, 20min	Abdel-Aziz et al. (2019)
<b>Doxorubicin</b>	Anthracycline anticancer drug	Euphorbia cochininchinensis mediated synthesizedFe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	electrostatic interaction by Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> due to active sites like COOH, ROH, COH.	pH 7, 80.2%	Weng et al. (2018)
<b>Ibuprofen</b>	Poly aromatic Anticonvulsant	nZVI NP mediated by Grape Marc, Black tea, Vine leaf extracts	adsorption and reduction	e	Machado et al. (2013)
<b>Diclofenac, carbamazepine, paracetamol</b>	aromatic pollutant	Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-lignin peroxidase (LiP)-Silica embedded	Biocatalysis activity	68%e98% pH 3-Nanocomposite	Pylypchuk

**Table 3:** Action of biofabricated nanomaterials against plant pathogens and pests.

Pathogen/Pest	Disease/toxin	Biofabricated	Reductant/capping	Remarks	Reference
		NPs	agent		
<i>Sclerospora graminicola</i>	Downy mildew of pearl millet	SeNPs	<i>Trichoderma spp</i> crude metabolites	Seed treatment Foliar spray	Nandini et al. (2017)
<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Late blight	SeNPs	<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> extracellular components	Seed priming	Joshi et al. (2020)
<i>Fusarium spp</i> <i>Alternaria alternata</i>	Alternaria toxins, fumonisin B1, deoxynivalenol	SeNPs (TSNP)	<i>Trichoderma spp</i> crude metabolites	Reduction of toxins by 83, 63, 73%	Hu et al. (2019)
<i>Pyricularia grisea</i> , <i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> , <i>Alternaria solani</i>	Blast, leaf blight and late blight	SeNPs	<i>Trichoderma</i>	antifungal agent	Joshi et al. (2019)
<i>Alternaria mali</i> , <i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i> , <i>Diplodia seriata</i>	Leaf blotch, Cancer, black rot apple orchards	ZnONPs	<i>Eucalyptus globules</i>	antifungal agent	Ahmad et al. (2020)
<i>Acidovorax oryzae</i>	Rice bacterial brown stripe	AgNPs	<i>Phyllanthus emblica fruit extract</i>	Increased Hcp secretion, inhibition of biofilm	Masum et al. (2019)
<i>Spodoptera litura</i>	Instectpest	AgNPs	<i>Punica granatumpeel extract</i>	Arresting the development process of larave and altered gut physiology	Bharani and Namasivayam (2017)
<i>Mythimna separata</i>	Instect pest	Ag NPs	<i>Trichodesma indicum</i>	Larvicidal activity	Buhroo et al. (2017)
<i>Macrosiphum rosae</i>	Instect pest of rose aphid	Ag NPs	<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Larvicidal activity	Bhattacharyya et al. (2016)
<i>Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvacearum</i>	Bacterial bligh	Ag NPs	<i>Ulva fasciata ethyl extract</i>	Effect on cytoplasmic integrity	Rajesh et al. (2012)
<i>Gloeophyllum abietinum</i> , <i>G. trabeum</i> , <i>Chaetomium globosum</i> , and <i>Phanerochaete sordida</i>	Wood rot	Ag NPs	<i>Turnip leaves extract</i>	Probability due to inhibition of enzyme inhibition and cell wall destruction fungi	Narayanan and Park (2014)
<i>Trametes hirsute</i> , <i>Oligoporus placenta</i> , <i>Wasemann</i> , <i>Rambur</i> and <i>Holmgren</i>	Wood rot fungal pathogens and termites	CuO NPs	<i>Neem, Lantana and orange peel extract</i>	Antitermite property needs further study.	Shiny et al. (2019)

**Table 4:** Role of biofabricated nanocomposites in heavy metal toxicity reduction.

Biofabricated nanocomposite	Stabilizing/capping agent	Heavy metal (loid)s	Process	Reference
<b>Fe-NPs</b>	Eucalyptus extract	Cr (VI)	Co-precipitation, Reduction and adsorption	<b>Jin et al. (2018)</b>
<b>Citrate-coated AgNPs</b>	Citrate	As (V) and Cu	Reduction of bioaccumulation under model study due to surface charge interaction of Nps and heavy metals	<b>Kim et al. (2016)</b>
<b>MISFNPs (magnetic inverse spinel iron oxide NPs)</b>	<i>Cnidium monnieri</i>	Pb (II) and Cr (III)	Adsorptive removal	<b>Lingamdiene et al. (2017)</b>
<b>FeS-SA-NPs</b>	Aglinat e	Se (IV)	Reduction and adsorption Efficiency decreased by the presence of co-existing anions	<b>Wu and Zeng (2018)</b>
<b>Iron-oxide NPs</b>	<i>Excoecaria cochinchinensis</i>	Cd (II)	98% removal, Adsorption max at pH 8, 45C Immobilization, Ligand complexation and Co-precipitation	<b>Lin et al. (2018)</b>
<b>Green iron oxide NPs</b>	<i>Euphorbia cochinchinensis</i>	Arsenate As (V) and Arsenite As (III)	Stabilization and transformation	<b>Su et al. (2020)</b>
<b>B-nZVI NPs</b>	Green tea extracts	Cr (VI)	Adsorption at pH 2-6	<b>Soliemanzadeh and Fekri (2017)</b>
<b>Iron NPs</b>	Olive oil	Ni	pH 7	<b>Es'haghi et al. (2016)</b>
<b>Fe0/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocomposites</b>	<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>	Cr (VI)	Role of Cell surface binding site of yeast, subsequently reduction via Fe0/Fe3O4	<b>Rao et al. (2013)</b>
<b>Fe NPs</b>	Eucalyptus leaf extract and Zn (II)	Cr (VI), Cu (II), Pb (II)	Adsorption and reduction.	<b>Weng et al. (2016)</b>

Source: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0045653521004446>

Phytoremediation as a green biotechnology tool for emerging environmental pollution: A step forward towards sustainable rehabilitation of the environment (2021)

**Table 1:** Removal efficiency and uptake of PPCPs by various plants and localization in different plant tissues.

PPCP	Therapeutic group	Plant	Concentration (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Exposure (d)	Total Removal (%)	Mean concentration plant (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )			Reference
						Roots	Shoots	Leaves	
<b>Carbamazepine</b>	Anticonvulsant	<i>E. crassipes</i>	0.002	18	NA	0.0016	NA	0.0118	[61]
		<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	5	1.214	NA	2.054	[62]
		<i>S. validus</i>	0.5–2.0	21	74	3.3–19	NA	0.3–0.7	[63]
		<i>A. rusticana</i>	25 µM	6	5	NA	NA	NA	[10]
		<i>E. horemanii</i>	0.002	14	NA	0.0021	NA	0.0076	[61]
<b>Triclosan</b>	Antibacterial and antifungal agent	<i>E. horemanii</i>	0.002	18	NA	0.011	NA	0.126	[61]
		<i>E. crassipes</i>	0.002	11	NA	0.0338	NA	0.0172	[61]
		<i>L. sativum</i>	42	8	96	65.47	0.24	NA	[64]
		<i>I. aquatica</i>	42	8	94	65.66	0.217	NA	[64]
		<i>Brassicaceae</i>	42	8	87	61.15	0.11	NA	[64]
		<i>Cane shoot</i>	42	8	84	20.07	0.227	NA	[64]
		<i>Lamiaceae</i>	42	8	78	12.34	0.064	NA	[64]
<b>Sulfamethoxazole</b>	Antibiotic	<i>B. rapa chinensis</i>	100	1.5	NA	28	4	6	[65]
		<i>I. aquatica</i>	100	1.5	NA	4.7	4.3	0.03	[65]
		<i>A. thaliana</i>	3	10	91	0.336	0.312		[9]
		<i>I. aquatica</i>	1	2	72	0	0	0.12	[66]
<b>Tetracycline</b>	Antibiotic	<i>I. aquatica</i>	100	1.5	NA	28	4	9	[65]
		<i>B. rapa chinensis</i>	100	1.5	NA	640	35	80	[65]
		<i>E. crassipes</i>	15	20	0.045	2.62	NA	NA	[67]
<b>Ibuprofen</b>	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug	<i>E. horemanii</i>	0.002	14	NA	0.0005	NA	0.0025	[61]
		<i>E. crassipes</i>	0.002	14	NA	0.0008	NA	0.0002	[61]
		<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	80	0.223	NA	0.024	[62]
<b>Sulfamethazine</b>	Antibiotic	<i>M. sativa</i>	10	5	NA	8.58	3.57	NA	[68]
		<i>I. pseudacorus</i>	1	11	63.5	NA	NA	NA	[69]
<b>Atenolol</b>	Beta blocker drug	<i>E. horemanii</i>	0.002	14	NA	0.0026	NA	0.0097	[61]
		<i>E. crassipes</i>	0.002	18	NA	0.072	NA	0.046	[61]
<b>Oxybenzone</b>	Sunscreen agent	<i>C. alternifolius</i>	50 µM	5	74	160	34	4	[70]
		<i>A. rusticana</i>	100 µM	3 h	20	NA	NA	NA	[71]
<b>Doxylamine</b>	Antihistamine sedative	<i>T. angustifolia</i>	5	7	62.7	NA	NA	NA	[16]
		<i>I. aquatica</i>	5	7	48	NA	NA	NA	[16]
<b>Naproxen</b>	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug	<i>S. validus</i>	0.5–2.0	21	98	0.2–2.4	NA	0.3–0.7	[63]
<b>Diclofenac</b>	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug	<i>T. latifolia</i>	1	7	20	0.2	NA	0.013	[72]
<b>Fluoxetine</b>	Antidepressant	<i>Brassicaceae spp.</i>	280	84	NA	NA	0.49	0.26	[73]

<b>Sulfonamide</b>	Antibiotic	<i>V. natans</i>	30	13	100	NA	NA	NA	[43]
<b>Bisphenol</b>	Endocrine disruptors	<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	51	0.325	NA	0.158	[62]
<b>Caffeine</b>	Psychoactive drug	<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	43	0.398	NA	0.147	[62]
<b>Propranolol</b>	Beta blocker drug	<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	75	0.393	NA	0.119	[62]
<b>Tonalide</b>	Aromatic musk	<i>L. sativa</i>	0.004	70	61	0.587	NA	0.321	[62]

NA: Not analyzed

**Table 2:** Plant enzymes and their mechanisms in the detoxification and degradation of organic compounds.

Enzyme	Mechanism of action	Source	Reference
<b>CYP monooxygenase</b>	NADPH- and/or O <sub>2</sub> -dependent hydroxylation of aliphatic and aromatic compounds	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	[86]
		<i>Armoracia rusticana</i>	[87]
		<i>Phragmites australis</i>	[70]
		<i>Cyperus alternifolius</i>	
<b>Glucosyl transferase</b>	Conjugation of organics with carbohydrates	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[88]
		<i>Glycine max</i>	[89]
		<i>Triticum aestivum</i>	[90]
		<i>Phragmites australis</i>	[91]
<b>Glutathione transferase</b>	Conjugation of organics with glutathione	<i>Chrysopogon zizanioides</i>	[92]
		<i>Phragmites australis</i>	[91]
<b>Acyltransferases</b>	Conjugation of organics with carboxylic acids	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	[93]
		<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[94]
	Oxidation of aromatic compounds	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	[95]
	Oxidation of aromatic compounds	<i>Blumea malcolmii</i> Hook	[96]
		<i>Asparagus densiflorus</i>	[97]
		<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[98]
		<i>Oryza sativa</i>	[99]
	Oxidative degradation of aromatic compounds	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[100]
	Transformation of organophosphate compounds	<i>Pennisetum sinese</i>	[101]
		<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>	[102]
		<i>Spirodela polyrhiza</i>	
	Reduction of nitro groups in nitroaromatic compounds and removal of N from ring structure	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i> Populus spp.	[85]
	Hydrolysis of nitriles, carboxylic acids and ammonia without generation of free amide	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[102]
		<i>Salix</i> spp.	[85]
	Hydrolytic cleavage of carbon-halogen bond in aliphatic and aromatic compounds	<i>Populus</i> spp.	[102]
		<i>Elodea canadensis</i>	[85]
	Hydroxylation of organo-compounds resulting in carboxylates	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	[103]
		<i>Aspidosperma polyneuron</i>	[104]

Source: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S138589472100632X>