# Effect of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth and physiology of wheat and sorghum under cadmium stress (2018)

Effect of [CO<sub>2</sub>] and [Cd] treatments on leaf area, shoot and root DW (dry weight) of wheat and sorghum plants.

Shoot/Root	RDW	SDW	SLA	LA (cm²)	C <sub>Cd</sub>	[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	Plant
ratio	(g)	(g)			(mg/kg)		species
2.20b	1.62j	3.54f	228.2h	435.8g	0	Ambien t	Wheat
1.94e	1.76b	3.42g	214.11	383.2i	10		
2.00de	1.22m	2.44k	226.1i	343.7k	20		
1.66f	1.13n	1.90n	234.8f	284.1n	40		
2.43a	1.84h	4.50d	210.1m	506.3e	0	Elevated	
2.05cd	2.06f	4.23e	200.1n	442.1f	10		
2.11bc	1.401	2.90i	216.7k	390.1h	20		
1.74f	1.26m	2.201	231.9g	326.91	40		
1.97de	2.75b	5.42b	214.8	569.4c	0	Ambien t	Sorghum
2.04cd	2.26d	4.63c	246.2d	551.6d	10		
1.42g	1.91g	2.72j	217.9k	300.7 m	20		
1.25h	1.46k	1.83n	256.6c	241.20	40		
2.02cde	3.04a	6.17a	237.7e	715.5a	0	Elevated	
2.20b	2.45c	5.40b	265.8b	693.9b	10		
1.50g	2.16e	3.22h	221.6j	361.2j	20		
1.30h	1.60j	2.03m	274.7a	285.7n	40		

 $*C_{Cd}$ : Cd concentration, LA: leaf area, SLA: specific leaf area, SDW: shoot dry weight, RDW: root dry weight in each column different letters show significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments

For wheat and sorghum at both  $CO_2$  levels, increases in Cd concentration ([Cd]) decreased all the indices of plant growth, i.e. leaf area, and shoot and root DW, whereas at the same [Cd], e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

Source: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00103624.2018.1547388

## Atmospheric $CO_2$ enrichment effect on the Cu-tolerance of the $C_4$ cordgrassT Spartinadensiflora (2018)

Photosynthetic pigments concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) and DES state in randomly selected, fully expanded penultimate leaves of Spartinadensiflora in response to treatment with a range of Cu concentrations at 400 and 700 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> after 30 d of treatment. Values represent mean ± SE, n = 5. Different letters indicate means that are significantly different from each other (LSD, P < 0.05).

[C u] (m M)	[C O <sub>2</sub> ] (pp m)	Chl a	Chl b	Phe a	b- carot ene	Lutei n	Ne ox an thi n	Viola xant hin	Zeax anthi n	DES
0	400	242.5± 19.2 <sup>a</sup>	$91.5 \pm 6.7^{a}$	$14.1\pm 2.3^{a}$	$5.8\pm$ $0.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	$20.1 \pm 4.1^{a}$	$8.9\pm 1.4^{a}$	3.8± 1.1 <sup>a</sup>	$6.4\pm$ $0.9^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.60\pm 0.05^{\rm a}$
	700	200.4± 17.4 <sup>a</sup>	82.6± 5.1 <sup>a</sup>	15.5± 2.5 <sup>a</sup>	$5.6\pm 0.4^{a}$	$17.8\pm 2.4^{a}$	7.1± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \pm \\ 0.9^{\mathrm{a}} \end{array}$	$5.9\pm 1.1^{a}$	$0.52\pm 0.04^{ m a}$
15	400	162.6± 6.5 <sup>b</sup>	51.4± 1.9 <sup>b</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 5.7 \pm \\ 0.2^{\mathrm{b}} \end{array}$	$4.5\pm 0.2^{a}$	$15.3\pm 0.8^{a}$	$7.1\pm 0.4^{a}$	$3.6\pm 0.2^{a}$	$4.7\pm 0.3^{a}$	$0.42\pm 0.01^{a}$
	700	174.2± 11.5 <sup>b</sup>	$44.4 \pm 1.6^{b}$	$\frac{8.8\pm}{2.4^{b}}$	5.9± 1.4 <sup>a</sup>	15.4± 2.9 <sup>a</sup>	$5.5\pm 1.1^{a}$	$6.7\pm 2.2^{a}$	5.9± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	$0.49 \pm 0.06^{a}$
45	400	167.3± 8.7 <sup>b</sup>	54.0± 3.1 <sup>b</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 4.9 \pm \\ 0.5^{\mathrm{b}} \end{array}$	5.1± 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	$15.9\pm 0.9^{a}$	$6.8\pm 0.6^{\mathrm{a}}$	3.6± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	$5.1\pm 0.3^{a}$	$0.41 \pm 0.07^{a}$
	700	166.5± 7.4 <sup>b</sup>	$58.3\pm 4.5^{b}$	$7.8\pm 1.2^{ m b}$	5.1± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>	$15.3\pm 1.8^{a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6 \pm \\ 0.2^{\mathrm{a}} \end{array}$	5.4± 1.3 <sup>a</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 5.5 \pm \\ 0.4^{\mathrm{a}} \end{array}$	$0.51\pm 0.04^{a}$

De-Epoxidation State (DES)

DES = [Antheraxantin] + [Zeaxanhin]/[Violaxanthin] + [Antheraxantin] + [Zeaxanthin]

Chl a, Chl b and Phe a concentrations decreased in presence of Cu in similar degree in both atmospheric CO2 concentrations treatment (Twoway ANOVA: Cu, p < 0.05), but without statistical differences between Cu treatments. Contrarily the concentrations of each specific carotenoids and DES state did not vary with Cu and CO2 concentrations treatments.

Source: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0176161717302808

# Atmospheric $CO_2$ enrichment effect on the Cu-tolerance of the $C_4$ cordgrassT Spartinadensiflora (2018)

Total Cu, Ca, K, Mg and P concentrations for leaves and roots of Spartinadensiflora in response to treatment with a range of Cu concentrations at 400 and 700 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for 30d.

Tissue	[Cu]	[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	Cu (mg	$Ca_{-1}$ (mg	$K (mg g^{-1})$	$Mg (mg g^{-1})$	$P(mg g^{-1})$
	(mM)	(ppm)	<b>Kg</b> <sup>-1</sup> )	g <sup>-1</sup> )			
Leaves	0	400	$4.9 \pm 1.0^{a}$	$3.4 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$25.4 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$3.3 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$2.6 \pm 0.1^{a}$
		700	$5.4\pm0.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	$3.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$24.3 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{a}$
	15	400	$179.6 \pm 2.0^{b}$	$3.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$17.8 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1.6 \pm 0.2^{b}$
		700	248.9± 6.3 <sup>bc</sup>	$3.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	19.2± 0.4 <sup>b</sup>	$2.7 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.2^{b}$
	45	400	$737.5 \pm 4.0^{d}$	$3.6 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$22.1 \pm 0.6^{ab}$	$3.4 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$2.6 \pm 0.2^{a}$
		700	$291.4 \pm 4.9^{\circ}$	$2.9 \pm 0.4^{a}$	19.6± 1.1 <sup>b</sup>	$3.0 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$2.1 \pm 0.1^{ab}$
Roots	0	400	$7.6 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$2.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$10.5 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$1.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.2^{a}$
		700	$8.2 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$2.2 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$15.3 \pm 1.0^{a}$	$1.7 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$2.7 \pm 0.1^{b}$
	15	400	$715.8 \pm 11.0^{b}$	$1.9 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$7.8 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.3 \pm 0.2^{a}$
		700	$581.3 \pm 9.0^{\circ}$	$1.6 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$6.0 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$1.0 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.0 \pm 0.5^{a}$
	45	400	$588.5 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$	$1.5 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$7.3 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$1.2 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.5 \pm 0.2^{a}$
		700	$627.7 \pm 12.0^{\circ}$	$1.5 \pm 0.3^{b}$	8.6± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	$1.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.8 \pm 0.4^{a}$

Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE, n = 6. Different values indicate means that are significantly different from each other (Two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05)

Source: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0176161717302808

#### Effect of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth and leaf litter decomposition of Quercus acutissima and Fraxinus rhynchophylla (2017)

	Q. acutissima			F. rhynchophylla			
Plant growth parameters	Ambient air	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	р	Ambient air	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	р	
Total dry weight (g)	14.77±2.06	15.35±3.59	0.892	29.5±4.60	28.9±1.71	0.914	
S/R	0.51±0.009	0.35±0.031	0.003	1.16±0.06	0.98±0.16	0.307	
LWR	0.16±0.002	$0.14 \pm 0.004$	0.001	0.11±0.02	0.13±0.008	0.028	
Thickness (mm)	0.09±0.002	0.11±0.003	0.002	$_2^{0.13\pm0.00}$	0.15±0.01	<0.001	
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	34.90±2.30	32.23±3.14	0.518	34.74±2.4 8	24.23±2.79	0.030	
SLA (cm <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	235.1±8.79	176.7±5.93	0.001	241.1±5.9 6	181.9±2.19	<0.001	

Comparison of the growth parameters of Q. acutissima and F. rhynchophylla in the ambient air (380 ppm) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (700 ppm) chambers.

Values are means  $\pm$  SE.

\* Shoot - root ratio (g g-1) = total shoot weight (g) / total root weight (g)

\* Leaf weight ratio (g g-1) = total leaf weight (g)/total plant weight (g)

\* Specific leaf area (cm2 g -1) = total leaf area (cm2)P = total leaf weight

The growth of Q. acutissima and F. rhynchophylla did not statistically differ between the ambient air and elevated  $CO_2$  chambers . However, the shoot/root (S/R) ratio differed between the two species. The S/R ratio of Q. acutissima was significantly lower in elevated  $CO_2$  chamber. Leaf growth conspicuously differed between the conditions. The thickness of the leaf blade was significantly higher (22% for Q. acutissima and 15% for F. rhynchophylla) in the elevated  $CO_2$  chamber. The leaf area of F. rhynchophylla litter was significantly lower in elevated  $CO_2$  chamber. The leaf area of Q. acutissima and F. rhynchophylla were significantly lower at the higher  $CO_2$  concentration.

Source: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0171197&type=printable

Species	Growing conditions	%Info	Total	Other effects on mycorrhizae	Root response
Betula alleghaniensis	Mesocosms (700 ll l–"), growing in competition with <i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Ť			Mass ↑ , length ↑
Betula papyrifera	Mesocosms (700 ll l–"), growing in competition with <i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	Ť	Ť		Mass =†, length =
Betula papyrifera	Pots in GC (700 ll 1–")			Altered morphotype assemblage s, extraradical hyphal length	Mass †
Betula pendula	OTC (700 lll-"), no fertilizer	T		Altered species composition	Mass
Liriodendron tulipifera	24 weeks, pots in GC (•150 and-300 μ 1–")	-=			Mass
Liriodendron tulipifera	OTC (•150 and •300 µl–")	=			Mass †
Pinus caribaea	49 weeks, pots in GC (660 ll l–")	=			
Pinus echinata	41 weeks, pots in GC	-=		Signif. # in % Inf. at 34	Mass †
	(double CO#), no fertilizer		weeks, not at ®nal harvest		
Pinus echinata	24 weeks, pots in GC (double CO#)	=	Signif. In % Inf. at 6 weeks, not at ®nal harvest		Mass

Pinus	Pots in OTC (720 ll	T	No changes in	Length
palustris	1-")		morphotype	
			assemblages, effect	
			larger at low N and	
			adequate water	
Pinus	OTC (•175 and •350 ll		▲ Extraradical	Area
ponderosa	1-")		fungal hyphae,	density
			Mycorrhizal turnover	Ť
Pinus ponderosa	Pots in GC (700 ll l-")	Ť	↑ Density ↑	
Pinus radiata	49 weeks, pots in	=		
	GC (660 ll l-")			
Pinus strobus	Pots in GC (700 ll	T	Altered morphotype	Mass 🕇
	1-")		assemblages	
Pinus	Pots in GC (700 ll	Ť	Altered morphotype	Ť
syl.estris	1-")		assemblages	
Pinus	120 d, pots in	=	No effect on total	
syl.estris	GC (double CO#)		fungal mass	
Pinus taeda	Open bottom pots in OTC (700 ll l–")	=		t
Populus	Open bottom pots in	=	Extraradical	Mass
tremuloides	OTC (700 ll l-")		mycorrhizal	
			hyphal	
0	N-poor conditions, \$ unde		conditions	
Populus hybrids	2 years, OTC (-350 ll 1–")	AM =		Mass↑
Quercus alba	24 weeks, pots in		ed mycorrhizal	Mass 🛉
	GC (double CO#)	infection before increase in		
		root mass, alterations in		
		species abundance		
Quercus alba	OTC (•150 and •300 ll 1–")	t		Mass 🛉
Tsuga .	Pots in GC	EM =		Mass
canadensis	(700 ll l-")	AM 🕇		<b></b>

Experimental (growing) conditions, response of the percentage of mycorrhizal infection (%Inf), of total amount of mycorrhizae, as well as e ne root response are reported for each study. EM, ectomycorrhizae; AM, arbuscular mycorrhizae; -, no signie cant changes ( $P!0\pm05$ ); , signie cantly enhanced ( $P!0\pm05$ ).

**Source:** R. Ceulemans at al. (2016), Effects of CO2 Enrichment on Trees and Forests: Lessons to be Learned in View of Future Ecosystem Studies, Annals of Botany

#### Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tree litter decomposition (2016)

Tree species and tissue	-		<b>Response of</b> litter quality	Response of decomposition
Acer pseudoplatanus, senesced leaves	1 season, 600 μ l–", pots in solar domes	8 months, chambers	C / N , lignin / N	=
<i>Betula pubescens</i> , senesced leaves	1 season, 600 μ 1–", pots in solar domes	5 months, chambers	C / N , lignin / N	¥
Betula	1 season, 600 µ	3 months,		=
pubescens, live roots <2 mm	l-", pots in solar domes:	Chambers with soil		
Castanea sati.a, senesced leaves	non-fertilized 2 years, 700 ll 1–", pots in GC	6 months, chambers: Incomplete decomposer community complex decomposer community	C/NC/N C/N, lignin = C /N, lignin =	¥
Fraxinus excelsior, senesced leaves	1 season, 600 ll l-", pots in solar domes		C / N , lignin /N	
Liriodendron tulipifera, senesced leaves	1 season, •300 ll 1–", pots in GC, exposed to ozone		N , lignin	÷
Liriodendron tulipifera, senesced leaves	2 years, •150 and -300 ll 1–", OTC		C / N , lignin /N	÷
Picea sitchensis, senesced needles	1 season, 600 ll l-", pots in solar domes		C / N , lignin /N	=
Picea sitchensis, live roots !2 mm	1 season, 600 ll  -", pots in solar domes: 3 months, chambers with soil fertilized non-fertilized	3 months, chambers with soil	C/NC/N	=

Tree species and tissue, fumigation conditions and duration, decomposition conditions and duration, and changes in litter chemistry and decomposition rates are reported for every study.OTC, Open top chambers; GC, growth chambers;  $^-$ , no signi®cant changes (P!0±05); #, signi®cantly enhanced (P!0±05); \$, signi®cantlydecreased (P!0±05).

**Source:** R. Ceulemans at al. (2016), Effects of CO2 Enrichment on Trees and Forests: Lessons to be Learned in View of Future Ecosystem Studies, Annals of Botany