

## NUMERICAL DATA

### Carbon Sequestration by the Terrestrial Soil-Plant System in a Heavily Polluted Area of Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia (2018)

Average total organic carbon content (g/g) of plant parts and soils organic carbon (SOC), Bulk density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), BCF and TF among the studied locations for *Calotropisprocera*.

Items		<i>Calotropisprocera</i>		
	Location I	Location II	Location III	
<b>Shoot</b>	0.436	0.4587	0.5019	
	0.4587	0.4932		0.4598
	0.4056	0.4120		0.5134
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.433433</b>	<b>0.454633</b>		<b>0.4917</b>
<b>Root</b>	0.2595	0.3171	0.3276	
	0.2345	0.3211		0.3651
	0.2523	0.3020		0.3567
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.248767</b>	<b>0.3134</b>		<b>0.3498</b>
<b>Soil</b>	0.06375	0.0716	0.0967	
	0.05956	0.0701		0.1099
	0.06973	0.0726		0.0863
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.064347</b>	<b>0.07143</b>		<b>0.097633</b>
<b>Soil Bulk Density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>1.666</b>	<b>1.022</b>		<b>0.991</b>
<b>BCF</b>	<b>3.866023</b>	<b>1.07339</b>		<b>3.582805</b>
<b>TF</b>	<b>1.742325</b>	<b>1.593</b>		<b>1.40566</b>

Average total organic carbon content (g/g) of plant parts and the soils organic carbon (SOC), Bulk density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), BCF and TF among the studied locations for *Phragmitesaustralis*

Items		<i>Phragmitesaustralis</i>		
	Location I	Location II	Location III	
<b>Shoot</b>	0.3315	0.3967	0.4012	
	0.356	0.3501		0.4236
	0.321	0.3967		0.4467
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.336167</b>	<b>0.381167</b>		<b>0.423833</b>
<b>Root</b>	0.2445	0.2613	0.3097	
	0.2595	0.2785		0.3478
	0.2345	0.2675		0.3980
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.246167</b>	<b>0.2691</b>		<b>0.351833</b>
<b>Soil</b>	0.02925	0.02768	0.03124	
	0.02234	0.02989		0.03451
	0.02456	0.0298		0.03234
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.025383</b>	<b>0.029123</b>		<b>0.032697</b>
<b>Soil Bulk Density(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>1.234</b>	<b>1.145</b>		<b>0.956</b>
<b>BCF</b>	<b>9.698105</b>	<b>9.240119</b>		<b>10.76041</b>
<b>TF</b>	<b>1.365605</b>	<b>1.416451</b>		<b>1.204643</b>

**Source:** <https://doi.org/10.26872/jmes.2018.9.2.58>

## Soil carbon storage at different depths as influenced by different horticulture crops (2018)

### Carbon Sequestration under Different Cropping Systems with Different Depth and Its Impact on Climate Change

		> 20 year old cultivation				
Horticulture land		Carbon stocks ( $Mg\ ha^{-1}$ )				
use system		0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-50 cm	50-100 cm	Total (1 m depth)
Mango orchard		1597.50	1584.14	2103.86	5057.25	<b>10342.75</b>
Cashew orchard		1428.09	1412.58	1823.4	4119.28	<b>8783.35</b>
Rose block		1134.30	1097.55	1454.94	3470.04	<b>7156.83</b>
Vegetable block		1102.60	1043.70	1366.90	3205.40	<b>6718.60</b>
Medicinal and aromatic block		1037.56	1016.25	1242.48	3067.74	<b>6364.03</b>
SEm ±		70.56	68.45	88.45	210.45	431.45
CD at 5%		210.11	205.45	266.47	630.15	1315.56

		4 year old cultivation			
Horticulture land use system		$CO_2$ sequestration ( $Mg\ ha^{-1}$ )			
		0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-50 cm	50-100 cm
Mango orchard		5862.82	5813.79	7721.16	18560.10
Cashew orchard		5241.09	5184.16	6691.87	15117.75
Rose block		5241.09	4028.00	5339.62	12735.04
Vegetable block		4046.54	3830.37	5016.52	11763.81
Medicinal and aromatic block		3807.84	3729.63	4559.90	11258.60
SEm ±		268.55	250.45	325.45	731.45
CD at 5%		806.45	752.25	977.45	2314.45

Source: DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6114>

## The Role of Haloxylon Plantations in Improving Carbon Sequestration Potential of Sand Dunes of Iran (2017)

The rate of carbon sequestration in different parts of the Haloxylon plantation and control area

	Parameter		Carbon sequestration (ton/ha)	Carbon sequestration percentage
Haloxylon plantation	Plant part	Trunk	0.74	3
		Branch	6.16	21
		Root	5.83	19
		Litter	3.73	12
	Soil depth (cm)	0-15	8.00	26
		15-30	5.90	19
		Total	30.36	100
Control area	Soil depth (cm)	0-15	3.1	52.5
		15-30	2.8	47.5
		Total	5.9	100

Source: [http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1601\\_321333](http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1601_321333)